1

I was born in October 1900, in Medbourne, Australia. That year Nietzsche Bied; Tolstoy, Zola, Cézanne, Meredith, were still alive. I began in a world with no motorcars blurting their poisonous fumes along stong the streets, no areoplanes fouling the skies of my childhood. Capitalism was to all intents and purposes a part of the natural order; the circulation of money as ordained by Yahweh as the ciculation of the blood. The world in which I grew up, in Sydney, was one that I immediately recognise when I read Proust, different as his complex world was from the crude society of Lower Bay View Street, Lavender Bay. The essence feels the same, with the same smell, the same sort of eternal warmth. On to that situation was to come the crash of the 1914 war, muted by distance but echoing with the same madness as in Europe; then the Russian Revolution; the inflations and economic disasters of the 1920s; the depression and the antifascist struggle of the 1930s; Hitlerism and the second worldwar; the atomic bomb and Hi≠roshima; the confrontation of the capitalist and the soviet Worlds in the Cold War; the advent of Communist China and the revelations of 1956; finally the War in Vietnam and the full polluted horrors of consumer-societies.

I have always though that an autobiography which starts with that kind of historical summary shrikes a clumsy and unpromising note; but I cannot start in any other way. The story I have here to tell is that of the taking of these moments of drastic change inside myself, the struggle to remain (or to become) human in the face of their worsening threats. Many other persons might say the same thing. What I think makes it worth while for me to attempt the definition of my reactions is the fact of a constant and conscious resistance from at least the years of the first worldwar: an unslackening struggle, though one with many zigzags, to detach myself from my class-society in a total resistance. What gives an interest to the story is, I think, the effort to maintain this total resistance in a changing situation, to develop it in more adequate terms, and to find out what it meant and what it entailed. When I look back over the more than fifty years in question, I feel that I have made many mistakes and gone

to clarify and understand; but I feel also that, for good and for bad, I tried sincerely to hold feet to my central conviction. That is why I have talled the work the Autobiography of an Idea.

My first sense of a cleavage from the world around me and all its governing notions was vaguely born in the years after my parants' marriage broke down. My father was the artist Norman Lindsay. After he left for a period in England in 1909, my mother knew her marriage had broken down and left Sydney for Brisbane where her strong-minded eldest sister lived. For a zoutleksixxxxxx there I did not go to sthool. Previously I had been for a couple of years at a small school kept by two old spinsters, where I at least learned to read. On my own, I read many school and adventure tales, and roamed in the Botanical Gardens. Then my uncle insisted on putting me into a preparatory school, where in 1913 I won the one scholarship it offered for the Grammar School. In 1914, the year when the war broke out, I discovered the existence of poetry, partly through my English class, which was doing Julius Caesar, partly through a copy of Palgrave's Golden Treasury among my mother's books. About the same time & I came to feel at home in Greek myth and legend, largely through Kingsley 's Heroes. The first poem I composed was one on Artemis, in which I tried to express the goddess glorying in wild life, in wooded hills that were in fact those near Brisbane, in the area of One Tree Hill. I began to read verse omnivorously, getting to know the second-hand bookshops and using the Public Library near the bridge.

It must have been during 1916 that, reading Keats' Ode to a Grecian Urn, I had a sudden overwhelming sense of powtry as a living body of experience, as an experience somehow greater in intensity and fullness than anything I had known or could imagine in everyday existence. The tonclusion, "Beauty is Truth, Truth Beauty," bursts on me with the conviction of ultimate truth, creating new dimensions all round me, revealing an infinity of meanings where I had never been aware of any meaning at all. I would have been hard put to explain the emotion, which however I felt to be too clear to need any explanation. At core what I felt was in poetry, through rhythm and image, life was lifted to a new level, in

which the harmonies and unions denied by the everyday world came true, were directly experienced, conjured up, given a force and enduring stabil-It wilk set them up in a permanent antagonism to the broken and incomp-Lete world from which they yet drew their energies. Truth and Beauty were in the poetic moment, however much they might seem sundered in the willy existence; and the only life worth living was that in which were sought all the while for the poetic moment, the resolution in which this was acheived. I recall the clocktick of that afternoon, the precise Intuation of light and shadow, in which the poem spoke with its own Toice, a voice from outside my consciousness, but making itself forever ==reafter the heart of my purpose. The large dim room, with the flat Tiger-skin not far away, only the head with its glassy eyes padded out; the coolness of the linoleum on which I lay stomach down; the harsh Teensland summer-light banked up on the other side of the curtained plass-doors that led into the broad verandah. The event was crucial, deteraing the direction of my whole future life.

It was at first only a gush of keen excitement, in intrusion of strangely hypnotic and shattering emotion. But gradually I felt more and more its relationship to the world outside, where a huge war was being waged: a war that impacted on Brisbane only in newspaper-headings that I scarcely heeded, in the occasional movement soldiers or guns down the streets, in in the super-patriotic comments of my aunt wat Mary. She, the one strong-minded character in my mother's family, was no children of her own and was determined to make a success of me: an academic success, as I seemed to have some scholarly talent. Soon she became for me the represent -ative and symbol of the oppressive world. I distrusted and then detested her respect for success and money, however shredly she disguised ir it fine-sounding terms. She was inflated, somewhat unfairly, into the MPE supreme exemplar of hypocrisy and corrupt temptation -- though at the same time I recognised that he had a genuine affection for her me, and though, at another level than that of poetry's stark judgment-light, I responded to this affection. Her respectabilities, which I now scorned, belonged to a world where the quest of success and money was only another face of the bestial greeds underlying the war.

Not that I arrived at these positions overnight. But they were mining strength by 1917-8. I had gone much further in poetry, and while remaining true to Keats and Shakespeare, had been deeply stirred by Blake Shelley. Here at last I found the formulations on which to rest my mase against the world, the final vision of Prometheus Unbound, the accus-Tions of Songs of Experience and the dialectics of the Marriage of Heaven Hell. The latter I merged with the dialectics of Plato's Phaidros tring about something like a full union of my aesthetic and moral poslions. The only prose-writer who gave me the feeling of delving into the Problems that obsessed me was Dostoevsky. There was much callow naivety still mixed up with my idea of poetry's high goals of liberation. I was tot subsisting on a diet of Keats, Blake, Shakespeare, Shelley; but I returned to those poets all the same for my vaguely but strongly grasped -- teria of what truly constituted poetry. I was indeed Mowever at the was time getting something from almost any sort of minor poet who had als own slight personal note, turning from Lydgate to Rupert Brooke, Tarles to de la Mare, Skelton to Graves. I did not yet think of myself a poet though I kept on scribbling sonnets, quatrains, narratives in Spenserian stanza or terza rima; I was simply absorbed in versifying and reading verses, struggling with rhythms that arose out of the world around me, but transcended it.

The notions of permanence and of ceaseless change fought an obscure fight in the thickets of my mind. I was haunted at times (as I closed my eyes) by a stony image of the world, of the buildings around me, especially the structures recalled from my childhood, in a sort of Babylonian twilight, assuming the proportions of great battelements erected securely against time; and of the whole face of things crumbling and tilting as I looked at it, revealing vortex within dusty vortex: the wind stirring the sun-lit hairs on the back of my hand as a remorseless acid that ate into my bowels, come from the back of outermost space. Only in poetry I felt the conflict reconciled and the courage to confront a divided world: a triumphant kinship with all who had ever sung or loved in the

remotest gulfs of time, and with all who would yet sing or love; and yet the irremediable pathos of the precarious isolation of the singer or lover, his face immediately blurred in the black wind.

200

So, till near the end of my teens, I cannot remember everthinking about what my career was going to be. I lived in the moment of absorption poetry, which dominated my studies. In a sense I was determining my Tatore by refusing to consider it. At the end of three years at the Frank School (1916) I won another scholarship for two years there; but The pressure from my ambitious aunt I entered for the University exam-Instions at the end of the first year, sure that I'd make a food of myself. I came third in Queensland and so had a university scholarship for three years, plus ten shillings a week. All this while my mother had ben reeping herself, me, and my two younger brothers, Raymond and Phil. in = 25-a-week allowance. After I entered the university, my amint kept on Trying to make me plan for an academic career; but I did not respond. I resolutely did not consider what lay on the other side of my three years of further study at "atin and Greek. She wanted me to go on to Oxford. There was only one travelling scholarship a year; and at the end (1920-1) I made a wager in my mind. I'd enter, and if I won I'd go to Oxford, but with no intention of becoming a don; otherwise I'd go to Sydney, to be Tear my father who had got in touch with me in 1919. But the scholarship was given to Eric Partridge, since well-known for his lexicographical work; he was older than I, my professor (Michie) explained, and could not apply again, whereas I could apply the next year, when I would gain the grant. I was satisfied. I hadn't really wanted to go, and now I could tell myself that the coin I had spun had duly informed me that my fate was poetry, not scholarship.

Meanwhile, the sense of reblicous difference nourished by Keats, Shelley, Blake, Dostoevsky, had made me look harder at the war that seemed to have become an endemic part of our society. I came on Sassoon's warpoems, and they had the effect of giving the direct point of application to my revolt. I saw the war as the betrayal of human brotherhood, as the touchetone for the truth and the lie in my world; and the war itself, which had been a dull boom beyond the horizon, became suddenly an integral

met of everything I touched, smelt, saw, heard. I met Murder on the way. The marter was everywhere, the gutters ran with blood. Every human relat-In was perverse and corrupt if it did not hold at its core the revolt me reigning inhumanity. And my attitudes were strengthened by my discover of others who shared them in varying degrees, men who had decided in That come way that our society was evil and must be overthrown. Thad come Titherby, director of the W.E.A., and through him I took classes In Tablish Literature. The W.E.A. was still then largely a working-class are tisation; almost all my students were ardent socialists and trademaists, and after a while I began to find a common language with The in dealing with the poets and novelists of the last century. William Tarris especially appeared in a new light. itherby himself was a Christ-Is Socialist, an excentric character, who shocked Brisbane with a speech In which he declared that he believed in Lenin begause he believed in Despite his liking for practical jokes that sknaka dismayed the respectable, he was a quiet serious man who never tried to force ah opin-Is on anyone; he used to listen and try to draw me, occasionally putting In a word when he felt I was too fanatical. Thus, once when I quoted Prancis Thompson, "Plough thou the rock until it bear ... ", he merely shook head and muttered, "Too terrible." Through him I came to know V. Bordon Childe, then secretary to a Labour politician and giving no sign of a desire to return to archaeology. He was macifist and socialist, and Plso never set out his positions, contening himself with caustic comments on contemporary and ancient history, often amiably crushing my too-simple interpretations, but stimulating me as well as making me feel how little I understood. With the mild Witherby and the sardomic Childe I often went up to a shack which Witherby had on the Mount Tambourine, at that time completely devoid of tourists.

Further, through the W.E.A. I met two I.W.W. or Wobblies, with one of whom, Jim Quinton, I became very friendly and who was always ready to pour out the socialist decklarations of which Witherby and Childe were shy. "Get down to bedrock," was his refrain, as he struck his fist into the palm of his other hand. Because the I.W.W. were mainly Americans,

Tim's friend certainly was of the States, I took Jim himself to be I ---- but I find that he came briginally from Southwark. About 1913 med been arrested in Sydney, and, before giving evidence, was told to the cath. He insisted that his real name was Quin Tông, that he was These, and could only be sworn in the Chinese manner. They had to promuttering a lot of gibberish. He demandpostponement after postponement of the trial, which, with his agile experientative powers, he was able to get. Then at the end he lodged atlain on the court for a pair of shoes, which had been worn out in many journeys to and from the place. In Brisbane he once had himself regred and shackled to the Failings of Parliament House. When the police me his condition, they asked who had done it to him, and he replied, Tour rotten constitution!" He had a taut springing energy in all his morements and I admired him enormously. I had been making defences at the Iniversity, in conversation and in the debates, of the Russian Revolut-Isa, and I arranged for Jim to come down and address a student-meeting.

Thus I had found that my revolt, however arrived-at as the result of common with the voices of poetry, had its wider connotations; that I but conrades ready to act for the principles I professed as a lonely discipline; and that the poetic revolt was linked at a thousand points with the spheres of social and political thought and action. So I came to point of conscious rejection of any role or career which bound me in with the bourgeois world, with the cash-nexus.

of independence. I took an never to accept any job whatever to lid not feel to be vitally connected with poetry, with my own to be a poet. The lines I scribbled on the back of an envelope to be few that remain from those days:

hair.

have broken the thews of Apollo with a dark and bitter snare. Their wod is the fear in the darkness, they move with a smell of decay,

and all that on earth is most lovely turns loathliest under their sway.

and this is the world they have builded, the world where alone I roam,

with a statue only friended, with only a song for home.

and this is the world I am bidden to accept and build more secure, or stave. But an oath I have taken, and come what may come, I'll endure.

part of their imagery from the episode of St Francis cropping the sir of Clara, yet I had at the time a strong attraction towards ascet—

see, with St Francis as a hero. I was confusedly acclaiming and yet taking asceticism, identifying the cash-nexus with the denying and storting forces—and yet asserting my refusal to partake in the seekpots that were dangled before me as a temptation. In a way this conflict has always remained with me in that the defiant demand for the fallness of life has been linked with the need to refuse most of what is considered enjoyment because of its complicity with corruption, with the fetichisms of a confumer-society, with dereliction in the cause of human wholeness. But indeed such a conflict can only be resolved in a truly human society.

Then, not long after, my father wrote to me and sent me several of sis etchings, also a copy of Thus Spake Zarathustra. I was deeply moved. In to this point I had managed for the most part to shut him out of my thoughts, only now and then recalling his existence and feeling a distant antagonism to him and his world of art as lacking on spirit and significance as I understood those terms. Behind this attempt to ignore him there also have lain a deep hurt, a bruised sense of personal rejection. He had turned from my mother and her three ons, had thrown us aside and rejected

A little earlier he had forced my mother to divorce him. As the eldest the three sons I had become in some sense the head of the family: a role I felt only in a certain gap that had grown up between me and the younger boys, who shared games and jokes from which I stood apart; I felt respons-The towards them, though ignorant as to any means by which I could help ar direct them. Now I realise that, despite the gap, the strongest emot-I felt was a deep solidarity with them: a sort of patient conspiracy the sons against the father, who was resented both for his failure to Essert authority (accept his responsibility) and for his presence as a remote symbol of authority in the vague but threatening world around us. Te was both the ruthless power d manding the shearing of Clare's hair the tempter setting out his wares of flesh in an art that I could not storach. Behind that image of Clare was no doubt the head of my mother with her fine thinning hair ; the castrating blade hidden in flaunted Tleshpots was the threat of the father to whom I retorted rejection for rejection. The mas depth of feeling which I had been putting into the idea of revolutionary brotherhood had its roots in the sense of a fate I shared with Ray and Phil. My refusal to think about a career had been linked with refusal to think about my father.

It was not that I disliked work. On the contrary. Apart from the time I spent in studying (mostly along lines of my own) I always enjoyed any maical work of a purposive kind. My uncle John was a man who liked using thands; he would have been happier as a sailor than as a doctor. I learned many crafts from him: how to make chairs or a boat, solder a paint and so on. I kept a tennis lawn in order and did arranged iron roof, and so on. I kept a tennis lawn in order and did arranged for my aunt. I was happy to walk twenty or even thirty mile are. I not only wrote verses; I made them in into carefully lettered little books, sometimes with little drawings. But I rejected the idea of the idea of money. Poetry had no place in the money-world, which by its matter it opposed and condemned. So, ironically, I was ready to earn many only through poetry or one of its derivations. My W.E.A. lectures had been such a derivation.

The basis of my rebellion was thus, despite what politics I had learned from Jim Quinton and my W E.A. class, primarily emotional and

moral. I felt the existing world to be evil. There might be good elements it, enjoyable and transporting moments of experience, and so on. But These carried on despite the rulers of the world whom I knew by the name of capitalists and imperialists from Quinton, and by the name of devils ar fallen angels from Blake. The good elements could only be confirmed and developed by bringing out their aspect of opposition to those ruling Powers. And this opposition was not a matter of random orblindly repetitiv collisions andefiances; it had a comprehensive direction. There was Lenin, whom life was resurrected beyond the cycles of evil and decay, Lenin in some sense was Christ of the second coming and who brought about The redeemed earth, edenic and saturnalian, of which men had always Freamed, in myth and poetry, in ritual and utopia. Through Jane Harrison, Permford, and others, I had discovered Greek myth on a new level -- in all the immediacy of the rites or initiation and rebirth. Poetry was that Initiation, seeking to bring about a coincidence of indevidual and social rebirth. Through Blake I had discovered that the Last Judgment was here now, forever preciptated in individual experience, but also moving to the world-event of which 1917 was the prologue.

0152 40

117.1

201.48

9-1 19

I BR

The testament. How was I to respond? To close my ears to the call or translate it into the terms that alone held significance for me -- and so doing, transpose those terms to meet the new situation? Certain so the call were richly in key with my own despest thoughts and emotions; others jarred harshly against those thoughts and emotions.

The conflict with me was violent but short-lived. I was intoxicated by the

The conflict with me was violent but short-lived. I was intoxicated by the voice: This is My Son in whom I am well pleased. Only by the intensity of my return to the Father can I guage the important though hidden part had played in my previous systems. It seemed as if I had built up my previous in revivalry with his pictorial one, and that, at the first of approval, the elements of difference had to be minimised and

the elements of similarity brought happily forward.

Soon Norman also sent me the proofs of his book Creative Effort, which I read with fascination, with a revulsion near terror and an ecstatis acceptance. The world he here set out was roughly the world of Nietzsche given the cosmic dimensions of Plato. He saw the individuals with creative powers in thought or in the arts as the demiurges of the universe. In a resplatonic pattern he saw them descending into the vortex of hungry matter, assuming bodies to work and suffer on earth for the purpose of creating the downward flow of am matter. By creating the dynamic image in music, poetry they gave form and stability to a dimension of chaos, of The, inert matter. They halted the downward curve and turned it upwards hack into realms of light and qualitatively finer matter Im these matter realms what was on the earth the sphere of art-imagery has become basis of existence, which is in turn developed into yet finer substance into subtler form and pattern, more powerful rhythms of liberated life-The drama of earth was the story of the creative mind's struggle atta matter, with everyday experience, with all the dark and brutish elemof the earth-creatures who lack the principle of form.

Drawing on Blake, Plato, Plotinos, I put a more specifically neoplatmist structure into these ideas than Norman had -- though, after our dismissions, he tended to take over many of my colourations. By concentrating
the concept of art-activity as the supreme concretising activity of
missions mind I was able to move over from Blake the down-to-earth revolmissions to Blake the visionary, dispersing his spirit-shapes all over the
missions and using aphorisms of his such as that which declares eternity
come to pass by a refinement of sensuous enjoyment. And to draw on
missions as that of Keats about the earth as a vale of soul-making. As
moste later in Dionysos:

image is not a phantom substitute for actuality. Actuality is phantomed passionate reality of the image since causation now is free, self-terined and plastic. This is the knowledge of every creator. It is be truth whether it existed before or not. It is expressed by Blake he speaks of Imagination, the real and Eternal World of which the treatable Universe is but the faint shadow.

Case must mote that the aesthetic projection of a higher reality has no matter with the automatically existent and abstract higher reality of metalogy.

eater this kind of universe I had only to stress the side of my thinking

which had seen poetry as the force creating a concrete universe of joy and harmony, which the earth-systems denied and sought to destroy or pertert. To stress this side so strongly that the link of the poetic struggle with the revolutionary struggle to bring about a just, brotherly, harmonious, and happy earth began to loosen and fade out, to seem irrelevant or even a parody of the aesthetic transformations.

Norman in his book was fiercely hostile to all ideas of social progress; he carried the worst aspects in Nirtsche to their logical conclusions, ignoring the other aspects which could be given a different interpretation. In my desire to find myself in accord with him, I could take
over the idealisation of the creative process; but I found it harder to
break up the set of interconnections of this process with history, with
the dream of earth as paradise regained, which I had been working out and
seeking to define in terms of a single revolutionary process. However, the
sethetic aspects had been the firstborn for me, and had been strengthened
by sy long absorption in the poets; the social and political aspects had
the later and had never been grasped with any sort of theoretical
serity. It seemed that they had belonged to the phase of my antagonism
to borman and that they could not sustain themselves in the warmth of
the coming-together.

Norman had in fact identified stability (the product of the concrate art-image) with authoritarian governmental forms that maintained the stable quo. I did not want to recognise this fact, which for my recognitate of it would have introduced a heavy discord into the heart of our new-image compact: the unity of father and son, which supplianted the oath of sons in brotherhood against authority (the father). My response of the sagnetic and voluble character personality ensured my surrender in matter. From my new angle I found his art entrancing. When I had sullenly outside its doors, I had felt only and egoism which dwelt in the lower dimensions of life because it feared the responsibility areams and of actions faced in their full reach and meaning. Now that the entered in, I saw a glorying acceptance of life, an effort to entered in, I saw a glorying acceptance of life, an effort to entered in the pull of a continuous refinement of sensuous enjoyment. The pudgment was true by itself; perhaps, brought together, they had express the specific quality of the work and its limitations.)

The next few years the world of imagery which I inhabited was largely mised in his art. I was also strongly affected by the poems of Hugh McCrae which had themselves been much affected by Norman.

Morman himself had not always held to the positions he now set out. In is youth he had indeed been sympathetic to any anti-establishment views, tren socialist ones, though he was never anything at all like a socialist. To had a wholly materialist outlook and in his art wanted to express an uncompromising joy in life, looking on the one hand to the Abbey of Thelema Em Babelais and on the other hand to the bacchanals of Rub ens; he delighted in strength and idiosyncrasy of character. Before 1918, his art was firsly rooted in the earth, in the varied scene of men, with a pastoral note Isoking back to Theocritos. But now the pastoral vision of a Dinysiac The sia had been turnedxouxto wrenched away from the earth and made into an while of the spiritworld. The direct cause of this voteface lay in the warld-war, which him hard and made it impossible for him to carry on with the carefree attitudes. To preserve his faith in the utopia he had to trans - it off the earth. He told me once that when a complacent visitor was Talking of the war, he burst out in fury, telling him that it was going on Es that very room, that blood was streaming all around them. The sharpest was the death of his young brother Reg, a handsome chap full of the Transfires of life. At the moment of his death, their mother at Creswick an abrupt conviction of some sort of message from him. About this time Les the cartoonist visited Springwood, for some reason taking a ouija-Board. Norman was interested and constructed his own board: the alphabet Two persons next a stick, their hands belding it alternately, and the stick then came to life, jigged about, and by pointing to letters that made up sentences. Norman considered Bose was the medium achieving spirit-contact with Shakespeare, Beeth-Apollo, and the others, we have their names and carried on conversat-Lass by means of the stick. I was present at only one of these seances; I think Norman wanted to find out out if his "spirits" would accept me. They did, but I didn't get much out of them. I asked Apollo leading-questi to find if he would set the origins of the Greek hexameter in the Tracean world (where, in these days long before the decipherment of Linea) I. I suspected it lay); but I got evasive answers. Still, I lulled my

Libbts. I had accepted his meoplatonic scheme of descent-ascent, so that there was nothing illogical in the communications. All the same I continted to feel a certain distaste for the whole thing, and was pleased to be invited to no more seances.

My acceptance of the creed of Creative Effort had been facilitated by love of Plato. Before all this, I had accepted his cossology as a sort allegory, just as I accepted Shelley's universe of angelic potences, Blake's conversations with spirits. I had been deeply stirred by the as a picture of the mind's awakening, of the Ion as a description inspiration, of the Phidros as an account of the quest for beauty; the lity which the dialogues treated was for me the sphere of poetic and delight. Ignoring the metaphysics of the Forms, I read Plato the psychology of creativeness and saw the poet or artist, not God, the creator of ideal patterns. Enk This approach made the transition arman's world-picture much easier. I merely restored the otherworld-to the Forms, while keeping their vital link with poet and artist. Thus, I was not only able to accept Norman's ideas but to give them are greater philosophical rigour than he was capable of.

I had gained the degree of B.A. with first-class honours in Latin and but after the wager I had made with Apollo worked out as blocking = from an Oxford-scholarship, I happily prepared to move to Sydney with few pounds in my puer pocket and the address of an anthroposophist German met on a visit to Brishane. Not long after Rap sold all my left in Brisbane, and joined me in an attic. We lived in a hand-toway for some months. Then I fell in love with Janet Beaton and we despite my insistence that no external ceremony constituted a for me. She had £5 a week from her parets, and I earned odd sums ariting, mainly things for the Sydney Bulletin, takes, essays, topical The under various pseudon yms such as Panurge. From my viewpoint it happened to be lucky that Janet had a regular allowance; we would tone together just the same if she had had nothing. Norman could and the solution by to guidance by Apollo or some thrifty goddess. at the passive element introduced by his concept of the artist as are accepting the pulls of life with responsibility only to his art made

it rather likely that something of the kind would happen. But it was not a

From 1921 to the end of 1925 we sought to found an Australia Renascible with such publications as Poetry in Australia and Vision. As usual, there was a contradiction in our aims. We had a supra-national notion of alture. From the Greeks, through the Renascence, on into the great works the 19th century we saw a single lineage of mind or spirit. Norman's meen spirits were expressing the vital human essence, regardless od local ditions. But we were in fact strongly patriotic, seeing Europe as alturally exhausted, going down into a swamp of primitivism, a desert of attraction. We were announcing the end of the pioneering phase in Australia and latters and the draw in elements from the full sweep of and culture, but our perspective made us do so in wildly exaggerated to still, the announcement, and the work that accompanied it, did have a lasting effect, partly through the stimulus given to the poets the Slessow and Robert Fitzgerald.

Is well as writing my top-of-the-voice manifestos, which mingled Metrsche, Plato, and N.L. in strange ways, I went on writing lyrics, marrative poems, and, in particular, verse-plays in which the general Tates was Elizabethan, but the psychology Nietzschean-Ferudian, and the mixture of colloquialisms and the richest combination of the than imagery in which colour played a part; I meant a dynamic sensleastess in which the image strongly cotherent, then irridescently broke and on the edge of dissolution, then regathered its forces into The key-aspect ranging through the images in congregation or explosion was light; thus the massing of an image was was taken as mobilisation of and spiritual energies into a new unity, while the explosion and Its overcoming expressed the innermost pattern of the cosmogonic secret. The image conquered space, while its accompanying rhythm, indissolubly mitted with it, expressed the conquest of time. The absorption of the Turic of Beethoven and Wagner played an important part in this new-Itestion of the image, and my verse, directly and indirectly, attempted

to find the verbal equivalent; of the musical imagery of those musicians.

with all the devotion of the best-beloved disciple I sought to live at my life on the principles of Creative Effort. Just as I refused any reliary work and took the course that seemed directed by signs from my poetry or its surrogates, so I did my best not to organise my life in any er outside the disciplines of work. Those disciplines had to be strictly accepted and adhered-to, without question or complaint, but they had to emerge from the work-processes themselves. Other experiences had to be as they came. They inches provided the living material of one's art. may included the most direct and simple of enjoyments: those found in malight and moonlight, in calm and storm, in the wild surf or the dry of the barren hillside. They involved both ease and extreme stress, all of which was to be accepted with an equal mixture of sheer surrender stoical apatheia. They involved also the simple pleasures of everyday existence, the tankard of beer with friends, the embrace of the girl who the serself without bargaining or equivocation. One had to submit to mell and drive of life, take it wholly inside oneself, yet be undank the master of one's fate. For one's fate was determined in the resort, in all that truly mattered, by the necessities of art. Perhaps I may be forgiven for citing here some passages from the ters of Hugh McCrae, written at this time, but published only a few ago, near fifty years after the event. They conjure up the exciteent of those days, and our hopes. They give a flattering picture of me; et it is not that which makes me cite them. The element to which Hugh was representing was not myself in isolation, it was the element of magical estimeship which I embodied as the beloved son doing his Father's For the same reason I seemed a stupid and blinkered fool, quite in any sense of humour or proportion, to many others.) Hugh is to Norman:

I see the figure of a new era flying over the earth... One half-god, such un-modern honesty and fierce-hearted courage, can do miracles world. Beauty sleeps out of sheer boredom... but she will waken the kiss of the giant-slayer, and it may even happen in our own life-

-- I gradually returned to the world... the first human I met was Jack, at

alletin office.

Jack's talk is wonderful, and I think I put up a record silence during two days visit at Blaxland. It is people like yourself and Jack who see wonder where I came from, and how I ever grew into being a writer... Jack's verse leaps straight between the legs.

His body is a place for his spirit to take pleasure in... His flesh pours wine, and draws melody from the world's harp for the enjoyer of a ghost as big as the Devil!

I love him for what he can do, but despair of ever being near him. (191)

Described he didn't know it, I had used the thome of the Sleeping Beauty in a Laric meant to express our Rendscence:

I shut my eyes and kissed. The dark place tingled with a thin twitter of strings tuning the silence edged with glamour, a harp's twinged mutterings.

I kissed. The quiet was brayed with sound, life clanked with buckled stride and faint with twitching past the centuries women's voices cried.

The hoarded silences crashed in exrs; but were her breath returned, her lips, in that cold body alone alive, on my lips burned.

sensuous image was coming to life, we beleived, in the night of marker time and the source of the source of the oceanic depths (here seen as Atlantis):

Templed with dark roofs of water and columns of shifting light pale your statuary sleep through the emerald night lanterned only by nereid eyes that in the dim-paned sea shine unearthly bright.

Tell to the depths your secret.

An harp of the air
will answer that mystery,
a bird will dare
to echo one tone of its sweetness aloug,
a shell of the sea
mutter your lair.

Still in earth, bird and tree
your liturgy sings.
O drowned beauty,
giant in the spirit your message rings
and the song, obeys
a voice that raises from under memory's tide
the organic swell of praise.

Tirtley, who worked in a Sydney stock-exchange firm, and who had a for fine books, played his part in this phase. He handprinted my book of verse, Fauns and Ladies, and then Slessor's Thief of the . I began to help him at setting and printing. When I mentioned that been translating the Lysistrata, he suggested that we should attempt ambitious production. Norman saw Apollo's hand in the project and offto do illustrations. We worked hard and turned out a very handsome artley sent some copies to London, where they carned high praise. to decided to drop his job and set up a fine press in London. He asked to go with him. Considering that the venture had come up out of my I agreed. Constables had expressed readiness to publish some small a further sign that I should try my luck in England. I however had no of leaving our struggle to launch a Renascence, and meant to stay cally a year or so: to carry the standard of the concrete Australian I into enemy country and then return to base. Norman saw the proposal atte same way as I did. He paid for a new suit and gave me 850. Kirtley I sailed off.

sketched out our world-outlook, but I should like to go more fully some its aspects, using the book Dionysos: Nietzsche contra Nietzsche I wrote in 1925 and published with slight revisions in London a sof years later. As I reread it, I find much that makes me blush blanch. Norman's influence appears in the notion that capitalism and lism are identfical since both are primarily concerned with economic and that "man" is a wholly destructive force. Part of these attition derived from the lack of differentiation between the controlling constalism driving into the worldwar and the masses who were contalled and driven. I had felt something of this revulsion during the war,

political grasp was too insecure to stand up against Norman's formultions, which it seemed necessary to accept if I was to continue my faith the poetic image. At the same time I took over Norman's idealisation the Romans, who were seen as seeking to externalise the Greek sense of in political and social terms. The political illusion, said Nietzsch for its aim the well-being of the transitory individual. Hence

The same sort of confusion gathered round our idea of acceptance. From angle indeed the artist accepts the world and everything in it as expression of energy, of process, as actually existing; he accepts ity in its manysided complexity. But he also accepts what is, in order change it. In the full dialectical viewpoint this involves both the elopment of art and the changing of the social situation; the movement is a fuller aesthetic is bound up with the movement towards a more society. But in our positions the whole emphasis had been laid on aesthetic movement; the social movement was irrelevant. Since expersus reduced to a purely sensuous level, from the simplest enjoy—to the ecstasies of sexual union, the best thing that society could as to stay as it was, carrying on its blind repetitions, and getting little as possible in the way of the fix delighting artist. Any effort callies justice could only upset the balance and result in worse

valueless and disgusting if its only purpose is to bleach unconble ravin into kindliness and lack of cruelty. Life is a vicious
if it moves merely from animality to God, from that which is
bestial to that which is morally pure, from one formlessness into
through a brief period of tormented individuality.
In the tiger and the saint we see the completion of a cycle. That
began in a world of formless substance, a little irritated clot
matic reactions, returns to a world of formless substance.
If difference is that a tiger will bite; while the saint (if the
chamber of morality and religion has done its work effectively)

that has happened is that a little clot of irritation has had the , atic cogwheels of its identity dispersed. The process that formed, compared the saint's personality painfully, after acons, out of the

clot of cohering energy which was the tiger, has no more significtion the action of the wind spiralling briefly through dust. The is all that has happened. The aeon that has passed was only that

tiger has been made harmless, returned to the thinness of God.

Existing an intention in life.

their proper places. The tiger in the jungle, the saint in the of God, both these are out of my path. It is in the intermediary that the yet unhaloed tiger is dangerous to life; for the humanising is, tormenting) process has operated sufficiently to make him more and to have suppressed his original simple functions as yet not make the sweet nirvanic opiate of pity.

The half-tiger half-saint then turns on life with the ravin of the saint the power for harm which the consciousness gained by shoulders with the cycles of life has given him. Instead of Buddha Savenarola.

not attack these conditions. I leave it to the opacity of the to believe that a spuritual condition is alterable from without.

always have to go through this process of damnation and salvation.

will never know why he does so.

repression; but I opposed to that process the activity of the artist consciousness by the dynamic image and who does not need to be into moral behaviour. He does not need to be educated out of Taking over Nink Zarathrustra's dictum, "Write with blood and you have that blood is spirit," I argued:

is the touchstone of virtue, Dionysos the god, enoi, this thought to my head and lifts the scalp tightly as if, Dionysos, your maenads it with vine-wreaths.

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

Lamotions such as pity, sympathy, humility (considered in themselves)

alleviate pain where I find it. I have felt pity excessively; but it, I hate it because I perceive behind it, Zarathustra, a deep so shame at having come into contact with so exhausted and maimed a of life, shame at the existence of an earth, at my complicity in posterous and horrible existence.

I have walked blindly about, sick in the belly for howrs, because I

though I faix unavoidably pix feel pity, I do not frame my morality, at my response to it. I discard it as soon as I can, in my healthy it is utterly alien, a loathsome necessity of the spectacle of an

men I call upon beauty in my own mind, or to the best of my abilon it, pity is but a slight emblem of in a larger disdain and
It exists only in the decay of the body; submitting to it, I open
to the graveyard worms, I decay. Beyond it remains the nexus of
constructive emotions, the mass of sensation to which I am seeking
a new reality and value by sta, ping it in ax a new image.
Who insist on giving pity an ethical value (and I do not deny
might, or rather their need, to do so) must be moved by one of the
reasons:

they are still savage enough to need to keep impressing on thempicture of suffering and of inhumanity which stimulates their sense sfxbx at being cruel.

they like to brood over pity, over misery, over ugliness, over all twisted, morbid, dying -- the primitive's effort to stimulate him
back into the action of life in order that he may damn himself again,

back into the material for a slow re-humanisation, and so on, and so

contact and ever, eternally recurring...

Hate, Justice for Revenge, since you can only love God or an principle by setting them overtly or unconsciously against life's Tou are not, as we are, plunged into the stream in order to weave pattern out of the its acclaimed energies, the broken lights that the waters. You wish to bottle, to tamper with, to redirect, to those energies into moral channels where they must either the or be poisoned, or rowingly overflow back to their primitive

is here, as in all the fompulations, the identification of Man with the seas Man, whose moral contradictions cannot be resolved in their own. The revolutionary impulse to change all that in its totality is store driven into the limited channels of the aesthetic transformation the thesis lies Blake's verses: Pity would be no more, If we did the anybody poor. But the judgment is perverted by lifting the moral clean out of the social sphere. Further the morality, the life-cycle precis) man is identified with the mechanistic science of the cois epoch, which has ruled since Galileo. The only form of qualitatings which is recognised is that of the creative act, which achieves and resolving unity. Thus the creative act is opposed absolutely to relity, science, life-cycle, of (bourgeois) man. The strong element is quite spoiled by the limitation of the concept of Man.

act thus becomes the sole reality outside the repetitive process,

all creative moments exist in the same time-space, outside mechanistic

The end-product, if it involves a qualitative leap foreards or up
appears as the cause of the process producing it, not vive versa.

the Hellene (erroneously supposed to have no sense of development, because he rejected it as a social symbol), had this profundity laboriously translated into an external symbol (Hegel with his effort to see the idea incarnate in history: Darwin seeking for the seminal source of an evolving species) to be retranslated by Nietzsche with a new width and precision into the spiritual experience of the individual...

The physics of Eternal Recurrence reappear, scientifically based, in

Einstein. Nietzsche's implied analysis of the subconscious motive, particularly in relation to fear and repressed desire, finds its medical verification in Freud. His definition of the image's action, his realisation of the asethetic nature of the universe, have produced Croce and Gentile.

These latter comments show an all-too-hasty wish to find allies. Like others who have not properly understood Einstein, I took his use of the term "dimension" to imply a full physical existence, so that I induced forman to link has space-time with our notion of the superior time-space of the image; the work of Croce and Gentile I was overvaluing, and indeed that I responded to in it was the Hegelian element. (Eternal Recurrence have, by the way, does not mean the repetitive and mechanistic process, but its exact opposite, the Dionysiac moment of the advent of the creative image, the time-space shared by all such advents.)

What gives a perverse force to the book is its passionate consistency in seeing individual self-consciousness, organised by the creative image as the sole truly active force, the only one capable of a qualitative leap in development. History these appears as the dramatisation of this self-consciousness, revealing (apart from the blind repetitions) the structure of its lyric and its draw tragedy.

primitive came bloodily to the sacking of civilisation; he put his feet on the arm, sinking belly of Venus, and chipped the beautiful stone ith his sword-edge and his kisses. He stood up with a snark of greedy riumph over the consciousness he defiled; and he looked into the mirror which Venus had been doing up her hair. He screamed with terror, and fell grovelling before the image of his own brutality, now separated from himself and threatening him. The mirror glinting in the beautiful shattered at this alien image that sank into it; the reflection tore silver sheet of sunlight to shreds. The arm bearing aloft the twisted the silver sheet of sunlight to shreds. The arm bearing aloft the twisted the lifted up his eyes upon the effigy of his own cruelty. The pain had inflicted returning upon himself, the nemesis of hate. He bowed the prayed before the Cross...

Jesus is the least important fact in Christianity; what concerns us is the sudden vast contact of the primitive with the currents of self-knowledge; and it is pleasant to cknsider that if he murdered consciousness it, as certainly, murdered him.

What could it give him but terror and lotahing of self? Listen. This darkness is wealed with cries of agony. Touch it, and the blood spurts from the raw wounds of thought. Up with the whip and enfeeble this frantic beast. The Renascence is waiting till xx these horrible expedients of man to become human have succeded in xxxxxxx draining at least the most turbul ent pulses of his savagery. Then it will be safe for mind to reassume its continuity.

I was then a skeleton
hanging from a chain,
picked clean by sunlight
and the beaked rain.
Slowly I dropped: slowly
knuckle and bone
crackled, grated in the wind, and
were ditchward blown.
Rib after rib I counted
falling out of me
till only the skull was left
rattling precariously -then that too fell
dull through the air,
and there was nothing
but Me there.

Praxiteles, Totian: Homer, Aristophanes, Rabelais, Shakespeare: there is no break there. Was there ever such an episode as Christianity? No... The straighforard drama of man, the clear revelation of allhis emotion al recoils, drawin sharply with fire and bronze against a bright pyrical picture of his everyday actions by Homer, reappears with a new profundity and power in Shakespeare. Terror burns in a more jagged darkness; beauty burns more detantly upon the sun. We have only to put Cleopatra against Helen, Macbeth against Achilles, Hamlet against Odysseus, to see the new

Distory is times seen as gaining its sole meaning through the intermsification of the individual self-¢consciousness. Lyric art utters the direct acciminated acclaiming response to life; tragedy confronts joy with its antithesis, the free creative act with the blind repetitive cycles. The Tragedy thus accepts and includes the break, the failure, of self-consciousness, but then proceeds to leap beyond the gap into a new unity, a new level of concentrated energy. It expresses the accepted or willed death of the creative individual — the death he dies many times before the final collapse of his organism when he inherits the time-space he has created.

The lyric, with its culmination and urge in the love-embrace, in orgasm, is included in tragedy, where the orgasm becomes death

He sets aside once and for all the Aristotelian definition of tragedy as the purging of the soul by terror and pity, a moral catharsis, an opening of the bowels of compassion. Rather it is the creation of a moral crisis, not the purging of terror and pity, but an intensification of all things kerriblexand pitiable and all things terrible by an up?ward sweep of emotion coordinated into a rhytmic culmination which becomes Ecstasy. And this culmination is not a release of emotions troubling the mind, but a sudden accumulate rivetting of power: a release of energy indeed, but even more a dangerous conservation of energy: an orgasm and a statue...

Love and death are the two basic conditions of life, and therefore the work which makes a lyrical fusion of them will be profoundest. Tragedy alone can assemble the necessary range of imageries, of human emotions and gestures, all eddying remorselessly to the cataracts of death, of the esstasy which makes death a symbol of life, birth, and self-completion -- of love.

Therefore death as much as coition is a symbol invested with infinite emotional significance. Dionysos, the god of growth, of all flowering, is inevutably the god of vital disintegration, since the plasm of life is germinated from the smashed splinters of Apollo's eternity. Dionysos is then supremely the point where an organism changes — the unseizable point in Time where evolution occurs. That is, where an act of will reconstructs the organisation of any unit of substance, where the foetus becomes human, where the ameba coordinates itself into something more than an amoeba, where the seed gertminates, where a new plasmic rhythmic breaks the crust of consciousness.

This new birth which is also a death is the expanding core of Dionysos. The eternal repetition of the seasons turning like a globe round the static earth is the wave of Dionysos circling, lake the vibrations of light through a dark recurrence: this circle of earthly life, this will which make forces inertia to submit to the pangs and delights of birth, become hecomes in the spirit the eternal rings of a deepening immortality.

The earth is called static because its movement is seen as due to wholly mechanistic forces.) This passage owes much to Jane Harrison as well as to lietssche; and for a moment trembles on the edge of a materialist dialectic,

Tietzsche; and for a moment trembles on the edge of a materialist dialectic field.

Then reverts to the existential bases. Will is used in the sense which I attributed to Nietzsche in his phrase "the will to power"; the innermost in a person to a greater abundance of life, a new unity of the exhalax wital being. All qualitative change, whether in man or nature, is seen as involving this drive, this leap.

A few more key-aspects of the book may be here underlined. First, the insistence on the concrete. "To me the problems of metaphysics are as sensual as any thought of naked lovers, since behind everything. the image of lovers naked, the immanence of God, I feel the movement of a universe of inertia shrugged obscurely into form, patches of flesh wearing, through the opaque veils of silence, the spiritual vortex of light working on the clay of darkness." Next, the insistence on the lived-through. the living-through; on thought-thunking not on thought-thought. This philosophy is the opposite of all those which have sought definitive systems. "Its purpose is also disruptive where it is most constructive; it balances life on a fine, delicate, and violent edge of creative zafatyx exaltation. It seeks safety by giving itself up to the most dangerous conflicts. It deliberately submits to all evil, to all disastrous forces. in order to use them, to bind them suddenly into the ascending rythm of passion which is joy." The book opens with the statement: "The purpose of thought is not to solve the riddle of the universe, but to create it. Once it lapses from the giddiness of the search in order to construct reality out of its findings, it tends to become static." The function of philosophy is " not to systematise thought, but to create philosophers." The book ends with a poem which seeks to be true to Nietzsche's aphorism: You will find me best when you reject me.

This is the End, and so this Book is dead.

Am I sorry to see it fall
into inertia? It means nothing now.

I read it am I say:
What Voice is this speaking from the Dead?
so far away,
so humourlessly the pax plangent mockeries call -Truth, here is Truth: a stone...

afresh by the enjoyment of the poem, picture, statue, music, it has producted. But for the creator, once it is over, its significance lies in the activity to which it leads and which, to be fully valid, should transcend it. The existentialist basis appears throughout in the idea that every moment is a moment of choice Involving heaven and hell, integration and disintegration. There can be no pause from the pressure of the need to

grasp the moment in its wholeness and to make the choice between form and chaos. Since the moment can be analysed infinitely, without any final conclusion as to its nature being reached, the only way to grasp its wholeness is in the aesthetic act. The moral choice thus always involves an act, towards disintegration or thowards wholeness; and this is the only real choice confronting men. All other choices are illusory, as are all expressions of will that are not impulses from the heart of the formative. process.

I should now like to turn briefly to the ways in which I tried to express these ideas in my poetry. I thought that apart from Norman nobody ever read them except Gordon Bottomley, who, in his generous way, responded to my discovery that I've stolen a line of his by saying that he was happy to play Marlowe to my Shakespeare. However in the manual on Australian Literature, 1940, I read the Friderick Macartney:

In them he reveats the self-creative tendencies of individuals in action and shows woman as a dominant energy in love. His Nietzscheah philosophy of life characterises these plays. The individual, who is himself and not another, is his own authority for what he does; correction is not to be externally imposed but springs from within. He is his own critic. He has cast out fear. Each acts within the limits he can energise. Through poetry, then, may be unfolded the creative expression of the individual as absolute authority. And drama is a fitting means for the manifestation

of the poet's creed in action.

In Lindsay's verse-forms imagery and symbolism are highly complicated He has been so accustomed to turning the abstract into the concrete that it is not easy for him to EXEXERE realise adequately the difficulties hi readers have to overcome to follow his meaning or to get any meaning at all. An object in the world of his imagination takes on the form of what is organically alive and makes new associations out of all ordinary settings. Sensations cease to be abstractions and become living things capable of movements beyond the limits of the rational; they can take on new shapes and work in smoothly with their opposites in a way that leave the intellect staggering. It happens at times that the imagery becomes so complicated that the thought is, as it were, lost in a maze and canno get through. But generally the meaning comes forth somewhere through an apt or direct expression.

Despite his egoism, which springs from his philosophical outlook, Lindsay's poetic dramas cannot be lightly passed over. They do not achie all that he aimed at, and they are not likely to win extended favours. But they are among the good things he has accomplished. Some of his scenes shimmer with poetry. The symbolism is ofttimes rich and delicate, the imagery graceful and light. But there is an undue tendency to rerdo it, and the general effect is an unevenness of handling...

riter, who is at all serious, seldom meets criticism, hostile or frienwhich gets right inside his method and sees what he was trying to do; make my gratitude for this analysis. I should like to cite some passages show how I attempted to find the poetic equivalent for the thought Pionysos. First, here is the lyrical side: the scene where, in Helen s of Age, Helen, sleepless, draws the curtain of her room and finds outside. He comes in. She is standing naked, with her two girlendants embraced on a couch at the other end of the room.

eris. Helen, I could not sleep... Helen... Helen... Say something.

Tell me even to go and I will go ... Helen... You fountain lighted in the mind, of spouting marble blown towards the moon: beautiful statue wandering in the mind between the hands of thought that cannot touch you: you stone of fire graven in the poor heart, and put out never. Mine. (He kisses her.) Helen. Now go.

Faris. I cannot.

Helen. Aye, I know that well, or I would not have bade you go, be sure.

tis follows an exchange of love-utterances which attempts to get into and imagery the same sort of effect as the shelving semitones of Liebestod, a flow of variation on the theme of kiss-flower-moon. emetorace-in-the-mind is thus the rhythmic embrace of the bodies.

Paris (embracing her). Mine, all the dimpled moonlight of your body fruited with kisses.

Helen. M ine the fruit of kisses loading the sun's broad branches and your mouth. O make yourself the lighter by one kiss and I the merrier.

FFris. Flown kisses are fire's gossamer and hang as light upon your nipples as the sun's dust on a rose. Felen. I am tinged with your kiss as colour fumes

from petals kindled by the flinty sun. Paris. How shall I gather you against one touch?

Telen. Throw out a wider net of kisses still to draw down all my spirit to one spot of seething roses bowered in my sense. Paris. It is done. I have dragged moonlight for your soul with a flowermesh of thought, and sweeping come home to your mouth.

My mouth has never strayed, Telen. it is stained with kisses and the running juice of some pulped laughter.

I am tethered here; Bris. the kisses struggle in their xxxx gaol of flowers, winged with moonlight.

Let them out. Helen.

Not yet. Peris.

elen. Not yet, O dredge the moonlight for its flowers to crush upon my breasts,

and give new life to petals fading into a bruised fire of gossamer:

to breathe upon the sun,

Paris. And raise the beauty wraithed in ancient dew,

Telen. And flowers ghosted in a lover's sigh.

Paris. What would you have?

Flong open moonlight wider. Relen.

Paris. Soon O soon.

Is there a flower puffed Helen, soft on the light's frailly-woven wind that has now wafted beauty into my sense?

Paris. Light is a drift of flowers

and looped kisses Helen. blossoms beleaguering the towery moon.

Paris. O I have fenced all beauty in one kiss.

Helen. And belied the moonlight in one brimming petal

Paris. To pour into your palm

Ah Paris

Paris. Closer still the ring of stealing flowers hems in the moon:

a garrison of flowers to take the turrets of the moon

the peaking buds

the prisoned kisses Eelen.

caught Paris in moonlight fluted through a flower's mouth

Helen. Our allies O the cauldrom of red roses

Paris. And music where the moon's mad corybants

Reien. Leap through my soul.

The moon's warm citadel

hoists its last kiss, surrendering:

let moonlight go Helen. flapping like a sail, cut the rope of twined kisses and

let the moon go floating Paris.

O moon of gossamer Helen.

gone: and kisses ebb across the mind

Paris.

my lover beating silence to a

Helen. And the eyes open on the spreading reem moun that coasts no dangerous reef of sunken flowers: the torn wurtain of kisses.

Paris.

your beauty unveiled by kisses corybants of music's wine tapped in our pulses:

Helen. It is over now,

for an astomishing music poured from vats
of the old moon. O gobletted silence, gone.

Paris. To come again whenever you should tilt the moon within your mind, to overflow this fluting magic phialed for your mouth...

so on through longer passages to the moment of flight:

Helen. On to the boat then, hawsered to the rocking moon. Goodbye, Menelaos, I'm going to Troy.

Paris. We are going to beauty battlemented in the dawn and lonely watchtowers of poised ki love that look down upon the crushed earth marketting below from the warm rack of fancies heavening our world. They shall gaze up at the clouds and see bright mysteries: lovers falling through dwarfed kisses gone in careering smoke: they are lost in the maze of that kiss now for ever and ever; pavilions breaking up into wildsea races; tritons blowing on conches of curly sunlight and giants blasted by the momentary gods. The clouds shall be our fancies and we will make and break them till there are no more kisses upon earth. We are going to a cloudy Troy in the mind reared by invisible music built of stone from the secret quarries of love. Helen, we go to Troy...

as that used by Byron in his play. But I made the wife unfaithful arino an emblem of the pang and shame and outrage discussed in Diony
a bitterness of isolation turned in on itself. He is waiting for the lithat will usher in the massacre of the nobles. The lyrical image, and out in Helen, sought to make a harmonious eternal-moment of time
by the endless circling, breaking apart, and inweaving of imagery is complex associations; the tragic image, striving to bridge the gap ansciousness, is deeply aware of the conflict in time-space, the rete unity is split by the clockbeat of mechanistic time: death as istantification with death as annihilation. Marino is fretting, soothed by any

male, who is his betrayer and his chief ally.

--- Berty. We can do nothing more.

mer. Then why talk of it.

Bert. I have not said a word.

of bribing Time that tinkles in the mind with tedious jestering, a chatter of bells over the dull boom of great drums of fear spread taut in the blood: the ominous bird that from the unexplored thickets of the soul drags a thudding threat across every silence, like touching unknown faces in empty darkness — Time, that obstinate huckster of stale wares, how can we buy him off from the mind's doors?

Bert. You all not, after breasting all these days with such an even courage, now unstring that bow of courage...

Mar. What is this? I, fearful!

I have too deeply quaffed with goodman death
a crony cup. Here, feel this hand.

Is it not firm as yours?

Bert. Firmer.

Only I do not like waiting. I was not made for it.
Yet what's a little longer, a little longer:
A few hours pawing hotly at the em mind,
when all my life has waited for the dawn
that is enwombed yonder? My meet blood's on tiptoe.
My mind is terraced with dark listeners.
They listen from dark terraces of silence.
They look at me, at the small cry of light
that is my thought dwindling into pain
at the end of those looming silences.
Do not let silence talk to me. No.
Answer, answer!

Mar. Anything, you fook. Anything that may be spelt in the loud marts of wisdom that is but the veil rustling with terror, yet less terrible than blackness gapped with quiet. Anything rather than silence dripping on the flayed mind. Here -- there -- where is it? The dark adversary dodges the turn of thought and clinks his tongue still behind the head, and every lull is scratched with voices like a finger drawn over screechy glass: fingers feeling for me behind the wind of silence, nothingness to which the silence tapers, tendrilled voices trying to break through the glass of the wind. No.

Tell me, Bertuccio -let me see, let me see -how do you think the Genoese will take
the news of the upsetting of the Government?
I suppose it will bring them barking at the heels
of our manies navies, with their currish ships snapping -Of course the difficulty will be to keep
these rascal tools of mine in hand when they have slain.
The State's strength must not suffer.

Bert. Ten years now the plague has crawled among us. So one morning, though tired with butchering, will hardly sap our forces to such weakness ---

Mar. At this moment they're moving about the city, muttering, taking out swords to look at them and think what rust of death will eat them by next night. humming the hours away, muffling with wine shrilly-pealing time, as one sets a cover over a bird to stop its singing, stop the birds of shricking time with a pall of wine. Call in some wine, Bertuccio. That will ease this pulse which in each minute cages a score of colliding fears slung to jolt between the heartbeats. That's the thing. Too much can be thought of in a second. Time should not be heard, it should not leaf the air about us with a hiss of thought. Bert. I shall order the wine.

The out the how closely the plays turn into action the philosophy.

avil, I mean those who seek death, who, seeking death, interpendrate fangs of inertia every pore of Plato. I mean God.

It is obvious that if Mind moved purely upward, it would escape all and rush to a finality somewhere beyond all beyonds, a mad a sogganing into a vaccum...

Therefore Evil is concrete, mot a vague principle of discord and put discordant individuals, those whose pain desires to escape test by the dissolution of the life which causes pain.

Once I have desired consciousness, I create all Evil. My decision rikes bells through every plangent hell of inertia. I must die also, at life with all its pain may cease. I, who create pain, must be so tiled with death that I,too, will wish to end this intolerable nonsense gony. It remains to be seen if I can surmount the conflict my desire tipitates...

I say that all these attitudes (that is, those who take them) are sail, automata of death in a conflict I wage with myself. Behind them

stand darker figures, those in whom hate has become a tormented recoil from life... a vital principle, surely, for it can no more achieve inertia

than the discipline I profess ...

You who are my evil, whoever you be, a truce for a moment while I send my love across the abyss. You may seek inertia, but you have taken no coward's way to it. I have my renegade moments when the aesthetic of hate appeals to me more than the aesthetic of love: the attraction of Milton's Satan. There is dignity in complete hate because it is pure, it has no tincture of love, which in it is loathsome (as Milton's Adam and Eve are); whereas love, by willing the eternal recurrence of life, wills also the existence of hate: it is beautiful, but veined with darkness, it twines together all contradictions, and so its pride, though ultimately greater, cannot have the single and perfect charm of hate.

(It must be recalled that under the heading of hate I was listing all who had sought right systems or attempted to project a single God.) In Marino there now bursts in an agitated citizen with complaints about a ship of his captured by Cretan pirates. After much talking at cross-purposes, Marino bursts out.

Mar. My God, I have no patiente. Let every ship, boarded by descending furies, be pushed under by the vast thumb of death, the ships that hide among the gullies of foam like insects hiding in the tattered fur of a great writhing beast, let them be shattered, as the sea, itching with their vermin-keels like that rolling beasts, mangy wirh foam, turns its gigantic limbs ***— foot, foot, the moindrop welling from the tremulous sky shivers and the stars are loose in their sockets and you come and tell me you have lost a ducat.

Merchant. No, my lord, when did I say a ducat:
You there, confess I said nothing of a ducat.
I have the bills here, also an assessment ---

He is thrust out, and Marino continues his anguished meditation on Time.

Mar. Look at the stars,

mouths streaming with light's water, a silver rheum
that flows with time and is timeless.

Earth, with its crop of seasons moving round
a circle painted with the fire of flowers,
blossoming snow, and all the bundles od fruit
dropt by the sun in trees, revolving depths
of equal life, and timeless, for they return.
The flames of frost smoke to crimson briars
and summer's ghosts dazzle the snow that clusters
woollen fires on black boughs. Summer and winter
shake out the same fritillaries of light
upon the sense, timeless. Time, time,

where is it that it aches under my eyelids and the stars pant it out? did you hear a noise?

Bert. It is early yet.

Mar. You have a man at hand to take the orders for the bell to ring?

Bert. He is outside.

Shall I call him in?

Mar. No, no. What is time in the mind, when on a tide of some flooding season it sets one way, not by the merging ritual of days spinning eternities of gladed spring, since surely spring returns, but engulfed in a branching sameness? In the soul's great year either to marching pipes we maenadise and break through wintry curtains of the shook winds with freshening stride, and the mind's endless season brightens to alternate revelries of flowers. or else fling to arctic death and all the stairs of fear looking for the bottom of the world to put its back against. There is no bottom. What do we fear? Not death or any pain. It is fear we fear.

Bert.

Leave this incessant warding of arrowy thoughts. I'll stand with any amid dangers, or the darting expectance, as here, as long as I'm left alone. If we're to fail, well, let us fail.

Mar,

Do you think that I care
for our success or failure so much I'd bend
by the least whisper of a plea for either?
What is this plodding fear then? I do not know.
They can do nothing to me that I fear.
They are nothing to me, nothing. And yet
I would not have the death with which I have roped
this square, budge to admit one life escaping...

tall in the man and send him out again.

Mar. Is it time, Bertuccio?
Bert. Almost.

Mar.

Yet the square
brims only with a furry quiet of shadows,
pelisses of the moon, drawn after her
like all the heeling seas.

The shadows tingle with a war of silences
and weedy tendrils clashing noiselessly
like hauberked ghosts metalled with no sound
and crossing sowrds blunted on soft quiet
and darkness padded with terror. Is it fixed
for the Admiral to know I have sent
word for the signal, so they may pretend to fight?

Bert. The Admiral by now must be breathing that mass of gloom over to the right there. When we pull the curtains, he will know it is sent.

Mar. All's finished now. My life is ended.

Let me sit down now.

There is nothing more to do. Nothing now.

You did not understand me when I said:

Their death does not matter, yet nothing else matters.

Was that a light there?

Bert. No.

I feel as though all earth's phantasmata were locked in rigod air and my body grows very still, very still.

This is peace, I think. It is the first time I have truly known peace.

My spirit with the pull of arched smoke hangs above this steady cressetflame my body and I seem somehow standing over myself as you might stand over a dead man.

Bert. It is time to send him.

Har. Send him then. Here!

Gianotto (étering). Yes?

Bert. You are to go now. Take the ring, and see there are no delays.

Gian. I know my duty. (Goes)

Mar. Call him back. Make sure there is no mistake. Bert. Do not fear for him. I have used him before in more difficult embassies than this.

(Pulls curtain)

Mar. It is afoot now. Nothing can stop it now.

Death is baying.

Listen and you can hear death coming nearer like trinkets of music gaudy in the wind. . Did you see that curtain shear off the sliding moon as a head falls before a dark sickle? The skies are faceless. Thete is no face to see into this cauldron steaming with kxix slow death. We are in a strange place, Bertuccio. The stones of the Piazza are scorched from hell's faggots burning darkness beneath. They will feel hell, even through the leather of their shoes, and murder pacing up and down. Draw back the curtains. I must see if the moon is withered or agape with mutilated fury. Draw them back. (Bertuccio obeys) Ah, it is there, fading, sunk within the pale wind sprayed out from the dawn's sluices. The dykes of darkness are down. The east lets out a feeble glare of heat, the first dour taste

of blood on the day's mouth. Dawn is rubbing Ah, to see darkness out gradually. this wlind pallor of rose slasht to giant red lips drinking red. Where is that bell? The blood is beating molten chimes in my head, I am deafened. Though the whole sky should swing like one huge bell, the moon hitting upon its reeling sides like a silver clapper, on this beaten brass of early light, I should not hear it. O for God's sake, stop. Bert. Mar. Come to the balcony and hear the sky toll death, I, like the sun, standing to quaff the vapours from the vassaled earth, to burn their souls like dew with my hands. Has it not shook the air, a mellay of pitiless bells? O false mists of light, fear is the mirror you unshroud. I will not look at myself within you. O, why did we not do it last night? It would have been over by now. Death shall flash soon. Are there no bells yet? There they are. Bert. No. Mar. I heard them. I tell you I heard them. I can hear them now. There! there! (Enter Seignior of the Night and men) Seign. Marino Faliero, Duke of Venice, I arrest you on a charge of conspiracy against the State --Mar. I did not hear them, Bertuccio?

Bett. There were none.

Mar. So...
Bert. Who has given you the right
to burst thus on your masters?

Skegn.

I have my orders,

and what is more, I have the men with me who'll see those orders done. I come from the Forty.

I have felt it worth while to cite these passages from Dionysos and the plays, since they bring out more strongly the positions I had reached than generalised recapitulations could do. They bring out indeed, I feel, the a violent immediacy the actual thinking and feeling of those days.

It having gone so far into a subjective existential ism, how was I ever regain the fuller dialectical bases which I had been beginning to build a tentative way before I received my father's letter? how was I to

mempt once more to link the aesthetic and the social aspects?

The rest of this book is the story of that return-journey. But, by the were of things, such a return could not be to identical point of depart-Every experience alters one, enlarges the field that one has to - After my devotion to the cause of Creative Effort and our Austral-Benascence, I could not be the same person as I was in 1919. I could get back to a materialist dialectic on with a much wider scope, with Filler aim, than I could have dreamed of in my first simple salute to Bussian Revolution. The return-journey could only be a devious and coming out at an unquested point in unexplored county thick stayed with me was tet declaring: What does not kill me, strugthens me. The more resistances Trad to overcome in regaining a materalist dialectic, the stronger and Tiller that dialectic would be in the end -- as long as I could truly resist. Looking back, I see in my development a series of swings, of and brusque changes, and yet I am even more aware of the continuity. beep on swinging.about implies a lack of any coherent or guiding lector; but to plod along a single track suggests that even if one began with a useful truth, one has lost its living virtue. The excessive swings al my early years do indeed reveal a sharp instability; but even so, if such an unstable movement from side to side and be overcome, there as the ressibility of a much enhanced richness of experience to synthesise. The mestion for the reader to decide is whether the swings destroyathe elemof continuity or the struggle to understand myself and my world and a wider and fuller truth than it would otherwise have commed achieved.

Tholly in accord with the dominant idea. Not to treat ideas and beliefs as a sort of luxury-product, as something to be taken out out at conveient moments, brushed up, and put on display, then stowed away again till the mext convenient moment. I have always tried, to the limit of my ability and understanding, to incarnate the idea, without trimming or compromise, in every aspect of my living. It has always baffle me how anyone can profess Christianity and yet not gix sell everything and give the proceed to the poor, then dedicate the rest of his life to poverty and the self-less service of the suffering and the oppressed.

It follows that any critique of one fundamental aspect of a culture s society must involve the critique of all other such aspects. Thexax the attack on mechanistic attitudes and in the sketchy effort to set at thesis of concrete time-space I had already begun a critique of Galileo science, but had not carried this far. However I intuited enough of what was entailed by the final developments of such a system, to that in our world it must lead to violent destructive powers. In the Ist year in Sydney I had worked with my friend Beutler, a musician for I had written some songs and the libretto of an opera, The Song of Faun, the basis for a big opera on Atlantis, in which the theme was the open struggle of Good and Evil, with something of the imagery of wring, but with the forces of Evil directly embodying the sort of science mat was to lead to the atomic bomb. The theme was thus World-end by full working out of the possibilities of mechanistic science. We had mly completed the opening passages before I left. But I may cite here of Marino's speeches which sets the key of revulsion and hate:

> Marino. O. I am halfmad with seeking for that word in my mind which can lash a storm of waters on this city and kill me with fulfilled vengeance. That I ask. Death for myself and death for all the world. The whizz of death's sword through a moaning air of dizzy slaughter would be time enough to know that earth had shrivelled. Let it die. Myself and you and you and everyone that's carcassed for its maw. Let air grow ice and choke each throat; and a stone of silence freeze on struck man, and trees wrinkling with sunlight, and all the fields blotchy with flowers, and fruits like blistered rottenness blown on boughs, hot sores of earth's rank blood spotting fiery green and all the harlot seas leprous with foam. But chiefly man. For him the sweating sun bleeds and the gashed moon ulcers night's flesh, but have no stratagem to unfrost his face of lies, and bheath show whimpering hate, his soul. Then what flat leer of blind grey seashapes, and a night of bats, skurrying glooms puffed from small white dead things that the touch loathes, lice shook from the moon's hair to bead in eyes, the wide jawed gobbling fish that lip the pallid glass of the eyes' cistern, risen from depths of slime ---

Bert. This is in emperate, all things have remedies.

Mar. But this has none.